CONTAINS NONPUBLIC DIGITAL INFORMATION

PROBATE COURT County: Docket No.:			DURT
IN RE: (Child's Name)		MOTION FOR SPEC OF FACT AND CON	CIAL FINDINGS CLUSIONS OF LAW
□	Plaintiff		
v.	Defendant		
I, case:	, request the fin	ndings of fact and conclusions of	law be made in the following
Parental Rights and Response	ibilities	Divorce	
Adoption		Paternity	
Protective Custody		🗌 Guardianship	
Juvenile		Other:	
A hearing in this case is scheduled for (n	ım/dd/yyyy)	at	a.m p.m.
I allege the following:			
1. The Court has jurisdiction over t and to make determinations about		s Motion for Special Findings of acy and/or custody and care of the	

STATE OF MAINE

- 2. Notice of the case and this Motion were given as required by law.
- 3. The following noncitizen child(ren) is/are the subject of the care and of this motion ("Child(ren)"):

Child #1:

First	Middle	Last
The Child has also been known <i>applicable.</i>)	as: (List any additional or previo	ously miswritten names for the child, if
Physical Address:		
Street	City/Town	Zip Code
Date of Birth: (mm/dd/yyyy)		_
Child #2:		
Name:		
First	Middle	Last
The Child has also been known <i>applicable.</i>)	as: (List any additional or previo	ously miswritten names for the child, if
Physical Address:		
Street	City/Town	Zip Code

(If this motion involves more than two ch	ildren, please attach an additie	tional page with the information	above for
each of those children.)			

- 4. The Child(ren) is/are under the age of 21.
- 5. The Child(ren) is/are unmarried.
- 6. Parent Information:

Parent #1:

Name: ____

First Middle Last The parent has also been known as: *(List any additional or previously miswritten names for the parent, if applicable.)*

Parent #2:

Name:

Middle

The parent has also been known as: (List any additional or previously miswritten names for the parent, if applicable.)

Last

7. Reunification of the Child with:

Parent #1 is not viable under Maine law because of:

First

- Abuse, as defined by 22 M.R.S. § 4002(1);
- \square Neglect, as defined by 22 M.R.S. § 4002(1);
- Abandonment, as defined by 22 M.R.S. § 4002(1-A); or
- Similar circumstances, as defined by 22 M.R.S. § 4099-I(1)(F).

Parent #2 is not viable under Maine law because of:

- \Box Abuse, as defined by 22 M.R.S. § 4002(1);
- Neglect, as defined by 22 M.R.S. § 4002(1);
- Abandonment, as defined by 22 M.R.S. § 4002(1-A); or
- Similar circumstances, as defined by 22 M.R.S. § 4099-I(1)(F).
- 8. Pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4002(1-C) and 19-A M.R.S. § 1653(3), it is not in the best interest of the Child(ren) to be returned to *(specify country or countries)* the country of nationality or country of last habitual residence of the Child/ren or Child/ren's parents.
- 9. Additional findings or conclusions requested:

WHEREFORE, I request that the Court enter the special findings of fact and conclusions of law.

Signature of moving	party	-
Date (<i>mm/dd/yyyy</i>):		
Name:		
Address:		
Phone Number:		
Email:		

CONTAINS NONPUBLIC DIGITAL INFORMATION

Attorney for Petitioner(s), if any:

Signature of Attorne	ey and Maine Bar Registration Number	
Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	:	
Name:		
Address:		
Phone Number:		
Email:		

STATE OF MAINE

COUNTY	
Personally appeared the above named,	and
	, and made oath that the foregoing statements are true under penalty
of perjury.	
I	Before me,
Date (<i>mm/dd/yyyy</i>):	Attorney at Law Notary Public Register Clerk
A True Copy, Attest Clerk Reg	ister